## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

FREDERICK M. SALAN, et al. \*

Plaintiffs \*

v. \* Case No.: L-02-144

CHARLES E. WEHLAND \*

Defendant \*

\* \* \*

## PLAINTIFFS' THIRD REQUEST TO EXTEND TIME BEFORE WHICH PREJUDICE ATTACHES TO LOCAL RULE 111 DISMISSAL OR IN THE ALTERNATIVE TO RETURN THE CASE TO THE ACTIVE DOCKET FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN

Necia Salan, Frederick Salan, and Debra Salan, Plaintiffs, hereby request that the Court extend the time when prejudice attaches to the Local Rule 111 dismissal order of Judge Gauvey dated August 14, 2003 or in the alternative, if that request is not granted, request that the case be returned to the active docket for good cause shown and for cause state:

- 1. Prejudice is to attach on January 11, 2004 to the Rule 111 dismissal of this action. Many of the contingencies contained in the Settlement Agreement ("Agreement") between the parties will not be met by that date. Plaintiffs, therefore, request for a third time that the Court extend that date 45 days to February 25, 2004. Headway has been made during the last 45 days. Plaintiffs' original Request to Extend Time Before Which Prejudice Attaches to Local Rule 111 Dismissal or in the Alternative to Return the Case to the Active Docket for Good Cause Shown is incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth.
- 2. Unfortunately, the most important contingency that must be met before the Agreement can be performed in its entirety is the complete settlement of a related caveat proceeding in the estate

of Gertrude Caplan and that has not occurred. A separate agreement has been reached with Mrs. Caplan's estate, but its terms have not yet been completed. For this reason alone, the Agreement has failed of its own terms. Nonetheless, Plaintiffs believe the removal of the last few roadblocks to that settlement is imminent. Once that action is resolved, the road is cleared to complete the settlement of this case.

Based on the foregoing, Plaintiffs request that the date for prejudice to attach to the Dismissal Order of August 14, 2003 be extended another 45 days to February 25, 2004 or if that request is not granted that this action be returned to the active docket for good cause shown.

A. KEITH WEINER, Bar #023756
Margolis, Pritzker, Epstein & Blatt, P.A. 405 E. Joppa Road, Suite 100
Towson, Maryland 21286
(410) 823-2222

Attorney for Plaintiffs

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 31<sup>st</sup> day of December, 2003, a copy of the foregoing Plaintiffs' Third Request to Extend Time Before Which Prejudice Attaches to Local Rule 111 Dismissal Or In The Alternative to Return The Case to The Active Docket for Good Cause Shown was mailed first class, postage prepaid to:

Jeffrey W. Bredeck, Esquire Eccleston & Wolf, P.C. 7<sup>th</sup> Floor - Scarlett Place 729 East Pratt Street Baltimore, Maryland 21202

> \_\_\_\_\_/s/\_\_\_ A. KEITH WEINER